MARIE CURIE - IEF Application



Andreea Bura, PhD IEF Fellow 2014-2016

Marie Curie Workshop Munich, 03.09.2014



MOBILITY



Barcelona Biomedical Research Park





Max-Planck-Institut für Psychiatrie (Deutsche Forschungsanstalt für Psychiatrie)





General advice



Proposals that are clearly laid out, well presented and showing white space are easier for the evaluators to read. (diagram better then a paragraph of dense text)

Evaluators have lots of proposals to score and may have to skim-read certain sections, so **highlight important points** in bold to make sure they stand out.



Get colleagues to read over your application and offer comments. Ask for help to EU Office(S).



General advice

Get familiar with the participant portal



Submit your application well in advance.



If you are continuing to work on your application fairly close to the deadline, upload and submit a close-to-final copy of the proposal. You can continue overriding old versions of the proposal with resubmissions right up until the deadline; only **the last submitted version before the deadline is considered** and kept in the system.



Criterion 1. S&T QUALITY (award)

Overall score (Threshold: 3.00/5.00, Weight: 0.25) 4.80

Strengths

- The proposed research is **original, innovative** and based on **solid preliminary data**.
- Specific **objectives** of the project are **clearly stated**.
- Multidisciplinary aspects are clearly evidentiated.
- Several appropriate up-to-date methodologies will be used.
- Obtaining new insights on the role of endocannabinoids in post-traumatic stress disorder is timely and relevant to **enhance knowledge in the field**.
- The **host institution** is **internationally recognized** for a very high level of research related to mood and other psychiatric disorders. Host supervisor is an excellent scientist.

Weaknesses



Criterion 2. TRAINING (award)

Strengths

- All training objectives are relevant, clearly stated and valuable.
- Additional training in transferable skills is available.
- Supervision and training of experienced researchers in the host laboratory is well organized.

Weaknesses



Criterion 3. RESEARCHER (award)

Strengths

- The fellow has obtained several fellowships in the past and the likelihood of their ability to perform the proposed research work is high.
- The publication record of the applicant is good.
- Signs of independent thinking are supported by concrete examples in the proposal
- The project matches well with the fellow's scientific profile.

Weaknesses

- The leadership qualities are not clearly demonstrated in the proposal.



Criterion 4. IMPLEMENTATION (selection)

Strengths

- The infrastructure and research facilities of the host are excellent.
- Practical arrangements are well addresses and a detailed, informative work plan is provided.
- The project's feasibility and credibility are well supported by a risk management plan and by a well developed contingency plan.
- The host institute has many foreign researchers and supports them with all the practical and administrative arrangements needed to settle in the new environment

Weaknesses



Criterion 5. IMPACT (award)

Strengths

- The research skills and the new competencies acquired during the fellowship will reinforce the professional maturity of the applicant to reach a complete scientific independency.
- The mobility and research activities will be beneficial to ERA.
- -The expected results are likely to identify new research and therapeutic targets and will contribute to European excellence and competitiveness.
- Standard outreach activities are foreseen.

Weaknesses



